

## OSM/LUM DECISION SHEET (DSH)

| Standard(s) (incl. year)   | Subclause(s):   | Tracking No. | Year                          |
|--|---|--------------|-------------------------------|
| EN 60598-1:2015/A1:2018  | 3.2.6   | DSH<br>0832A | 2019                          |
| <b>Category:</b>   |   |              |                               |
| LITE   |   |              |                               |
| Subject:   | Key words:  | Developed by | Approved at                   |
| Marking with IP numbers  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- IP marking</li> <li>- Mounting orientations</li> <li>- Type designation</li> </ul> | OSM/LUM-ETF5 | 2019 ETICS<br>Plenary Meeting |
| <b>Question</b>  |   |              |                               |
| <p>From certificates issued by some members of OSM/LUM we have learned that luminaires with the same type designation may have different IP numbers for different mounting orientations. The relevant information about the orientation is then provided on the marking label. Up to now we have not accepted such a procedure. What is the general opinion within CTL-ETF5 and OSM/LUM?</p> |   |              |                               |
| <b>Decision</b>  |   |              |                               |
| <p>IP marking must be given on the luminaire relevant to the mounting orientation of the product, so that no misunderstanding of the IP / orientation can be made. E.g. diagram on luminaire and explanation in the user instruction.</p>  |   |              |                               |
| <b>Explanatory notes</b>   |   |              |                               |
| ---  |   |              |                               |